

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,227	11/26/2003	Mark Edward Kane	3805-025-27 CIP	5696	
7590 12/02/2004			EXAMINER		
Supervisor, Patent Prosecution Services			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, CUONG H	
PIPER RUDNICK LLP 1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-2412			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3661		
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\			
/\		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
1		10/721,227	KANE ET AL.				
\	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
1		CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	s			
THE N - Extension after S - If the s - If NO - Failur Any re earner	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by supply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the second of the se	nication.			
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 November 2003.		•			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the applicate that the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application	on Papers						
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			• •			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) [/ a) [Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stac	je			
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3661

Status of the claims

1. This Office Action is the answer to the communication filed on 11/26/2003. Claims 1-65 are pending.

Drawing

2. This application has been filed with of formal drawings, and they are accepted for examinations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. Claims 1-2, 17-18, 34-35, 50-51 are rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bingeman et al. (US Pat. 6,446,005).

Bingeman et al. teach about correcting errors in locomotive movement information caused by wear of a wheel of a train (see Bingeman et al., Figs. 2, 8), comprising:

- a control unit; a memory connected to the control unit;
- a positioning system in communication with the control unit, the positioning system being configured to provide the control unit with position information pertaining to the train (global positioning system GPS) (see Bingeman et al., Fig. 6); and

Art Unit: 3661

a wheel sensor connected to the control unit, the wheel (see Bingeman et al., claim 4), sensor being configured to measure rotation of a train wheel and provide train movement information based on a nominal wheel size (Bingeman's system uses a magnetic wheel sensor, see Bingeman et al., Fig. 8);

Page 3

wherein the control unit (see Bingeman et al., Fig.8):

- determining a positioning system distance traveled by the train over an interval by calculating a difference in positions reported by the positioning system at the start of the interval and the end of the interval (see Bingeman, Fig. 6); determining a wheel sensor distance traveled by the train over the interval based on the train movement information from the wheel sensor calculating a correction factor based on the positioning system distance and the wheel sensor distance (see Bingeman et al., 17:1-5); and

using the correction factor to correct distance indicated by the wheel sensor (see Bingeman, Fig.10).

Therefore, Bingeman et al. teach a vehicle sufficiently comprising all claimed limitations.

Claims 3, 19, 36, and 52 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bingeman et al., US Pat. 6,446,005).

Art Unit: 3661

Bingeman et al., also disclose that a speed is corrected (see Bingeman et al., 16:38-56, and 17:14-19).

5. Claims 4, 20, 37, and 53 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bingeman et al., US Pat.
6,446,005).

Bingeman et al., inherently teach that a traveled distance is determined using a start position and a stop position from a positioning system such as a GPS (see Bingeman, Fig.6 ref. 102).

6. Claims 5, 11, 16, 21, 27, 32, 38, 44, 49, and 54, 60, 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bingeman et al., US Pat. 6,446,005).

A. Re. To claims 5, 11, 21, 27, 38, 44, and 54, 60: The examiner

Bingeman et al., inherently teach that rotation information includes a number of rotations of the wheel over the interval such as periodically calibration (i.e., a wheel sensor may measure a rotation of a wheel directly; see Bingeman et al., the abstract, and 14:10-18).

B. Re. To claims 16, 32, 49, and 65: The examiner respectfully submits that Bingeman et al. teach about determining a distance from a GPS, and from a wheel sensor repeatedly, and then obtaining an average correction factor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

Art Unit: 3661

Page 5

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Dependent claims 6, 22, 39, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bingeman et al., (US Pat. 6,446,005).

Bingeman et al. do not expressly ignore wheel rotation distance and positioning system distance when a speed of the train is below a speed threshold.

However, in their calculation from the control unit, a reference is used to determine speeds (see Bingeman 10:29-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Bingeman et al.'s patent with a detail of "ignore" wheel rotation distance and positioning system distance when a speed of the train is below a speed threshold." because obtained distance data in this range would make the calculation for a correction coefficient not "in normal operation status".

8. Dependent claims 7-8, 23-24, 40-41, and 56-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bingeman et al., (US Pat. 6,446,005), in view of Satoh et al. (US Pat. 6,381,536).

The rationales and reference for a rejection of claim 34 are incorporated.

Art Unit: 3661

Bingeman et al. do not disclose that a map database connected to the control unit, wherein the control unit using position information from GPS, ignoring wheel sensor distance and positioning system distance for sections of track for which the curvature is above a curvature threshold.

However, Satoh et al. obviously use map index and curvature/grade threshold to estimate a map distance (see Satoh et al. 1:45-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Bingeman et al.'s and Satoh et al. to use curvature/grade threshold as a reference for determine traveled distances because obtained distance data in this range would make the calculation for a correction coefficient not "in normal operation status".

9. Dependent claims 9, 25, 42, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bingeman et al., (US Pat. 6,446,005).

The rationales and reference for a rejection of claim 34 are incorporated.

Bingeman et al. do not correct wheel sensor distance corresponding to portions of track having a curvature over a curvature threshold.

Art Unit: 3661

It would have been obvious that for normal conditions, a curvature normally does not taking into account for a "distance" correction factor; it is logic that a "big" curvature (above a normal threshold) is meaningfully be taken into account for distance corrections.

10. Dependent claims 10-15, 26-31, 43-48, and 59-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bingeman et al., (US Pat. 6,446,005).

A. Re. To claims 10, 26, 43, and 59:

Bingeman et al. also teach about taking distances and accelerations of the vehicle into account (see Bingeman et al., 6:15-27, and 17:5-9).

Bingeman et al. do not disclose about ignoring any wheel sensor distances and positioning system distances corresponding to an acceleration above a predetermined threshold.

However, it would have been obvious that for an abnormal distance or an abnormal acceleration (above predetermined thresholds), they are not meaningfully be taken into account for distance corrections.

B. Re. To claims 12-15, 28-31, 45-48, and 61-64: The examiner respectfully submits that a wheel sensor can be used to measure rotation of an axle, a drive shaft, a gear, or a motor because it is used for similar functions in the same environment.

Art Unit: 3661

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703-305-4553. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Coonsforguyen

CUONG H. NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661

CLAN